



Corporate Committee Update


Year ended 31 March 2014

June 2014

Paul Dossett
Partner
T 020 7728 3180
E paul.dossett@uk.gt.com

Paul Jacklin
Manager
T 020 7728 3263
E paul.jacklin@uk.gt.com

Richard Bennett
Executive
T 020 7728 3241
E richard.s.bennett@uk.gt.com



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect your business or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	4
Progress at 10 June 2014	5
Emerging issues and developments	
Accounting and audit issues	6
Local government guidance	9
Grant Thornton	12

Introduction

This paper provides the Corporate Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors. The paper also includes a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you.

Members of the Corporate Committee can find further useful material on our website www.grant-thornton.co.uk, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector (<http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Services/Public-Sector/>). Here you can download copies of our publications including:

- Working in tandem, local government governance review 2014, our third annual review, assessing local authority governance, highlighting areas for improvement and posing questions to help assess the strength of current arrangements
- 2016 tipping point? Challenging the current, summary findings from our third year of financial health checks of English local authorities
- Reaping the benefits? First impressions of the impact of welfare reform
- Local Government Pension Schemes Governance Review, a review of current practice, best case examples and useful questions to assess governance strengths

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Paul Dossett (Engagement Lead) T 020 7728 3180 M 07919025198 paul.dossett@uk.gt.com
Paul Jacklin (Audit Manager) T 020 7728 3263 M 0788 0456186 paul.j.jacklin@uk.gt.com

Progress at 10 June 2014

Work	Comments
<p>2013-14 Accounts Audit Plan We are required to issue a detailed accounts Audit Plan to the Council setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2013-14 financial statements.</p>	<p>The Audit Plan was agreed at the Corporate Committee in March 2014.</p>
<p>Interim accounts audit Our interim fieldwork visit includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • updating our review of the Council's control environment • updating our understanding of financial systems • review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems • early work on emerging accounting issues • early substantive testing • proposed Value for Money conclusion. 	<p>Fieldwork has been completed, the results of which were included within the Audit Plan presented to the Corporate Committee in March 2014. Early substantive testing is in progress and we will report the results of this work in our Audit Findings Report which will be presented at the Corporate Committee in September 2014.</p>
<p>2013-14 final accounts audit Including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • audit of the 2013-14 financial statements • proposed opinion on the Council's accounts • proposed Value for Money conclusion. 	<p>Our early substantive testing completed on income and payroll costs has not identified any issues to date that we are required to report.</p> <p>We plan to commence our testing on the Council's financial statements on 30 June 2014 and we will communicate our findings from the audit to the September Corporate Committee.</p>
<p>Membership of the Corporate Committee Following the results of the local elections, there are a number of new Members of the Corporate Committee</p>	<p>We have assisted the Council with new Member training on 18 June 2014.</p>

Understanding your accounts – member guidance

Accounting and audit issues

Guide to local authority accounts

Local Authority Corporate Committee members are not expected to be financial experts, but they are responsible for approving and issuing the Council's financial statements. However, Local Authority financial statements are complex and can be difficult to understand. We have prepared a guide for members to use as part of their review of the financial statements. It explains the key features of the primary statements and notes that make up a set of financial statements. It also includes key challenge questions to help members assess whether the financial statements show a true and fair view of their authority's financial performance and financial position.

The guide considers the :

- explanatory foreword – which should include an explanation of key events and their effect on the financial statements
- annual governance statement – providing a clear sense of the risks facing the authority and the controls in place to manage them
- movement in reserves statement – showing the Council's net worth and spending power
- comprehensive income and expenditure statement – reporting on the year's financial performance and whether operations resulted in surplus or deficit
- balance sheet – a 'snapshot' of the Council's financial position at 31st March; and
- other statements and additional disclosures

Supporting this guide we have produced two further documents to support members in discharging their responsibilities

- helping local authorities prepare clear and concise financial statements
- approving the minimum revenue provision

Copies of these are available from your Engagement Lead and Audit Manager

Accounts – our top issues

Accounting and audit issues

Top issues for the 2013/14 closedown

Based on the queries we have received from practitioners and auditors, here is a list of the top issues to consider for the 2013/14 closedown. Senior finance officers and Members of the Corporate Committee should consider these points when reviewing the Council's draft financial statements.

1. Do your accounts tell the overall story of your authority's financial performance and financial position? Are they clear, concise and easy to follow? Is detailed information on the most important information easy to find? Have duplicated text, non-material notes and zero entries been removed?
2. Are your accounts internally consistent? In particular, does the movement in reserves statement agree to the detailed notes?
3. Is your programme of revaluations sufficiently up to date to ensure that the carrying value of property, plant and equipment does not differ materially from the fair value at 31 March 2014?
4. Have you accounted for provisions in accordance with IAS 37?
 - Have you considered provisions for business rates?
 - Are your provisions the best estimate of the liability (rather than a prudent estimate or an amount that is convenient for budget purposes)?
 - Is there a robust evidence based methodology to support the estimate?
 - Are there any instances in which a provision has not been made because a reliable estimate cannot be made? If so, Is their robust evidence to support the judgement that a reliable estimate is not possible? Has a contingent liability been disclosed?
5. Have you addressed the new accounting requirements in 2013/14 for the presentation of IAS 19 pension costs and a new service line for Public Health been addressed? Have comparatives been restated?
6. Have you disclosed the accounting policy for schools? For those schools that are not recognised on the balance sheet, has information about school assets, income and expenditure been disclosed?

Accounts – our top issues

Accounting and audit issues

7. In the pension accounts, have the following disclosures required by the Code been included that are in addition to those set out in the CIPFA example pension fund accounts:

- the relationship between net assets available for benefits and the present value of promised retirement benefits
- an accounting policy for measurement of assets held at amortised cost.

8. In the group accounts, are the accounts of subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures robust? Have accounting policies been brought in line with those of the authority? Have all intra-group transactions and balances been eliminated?

9. Have you agreed a detailed closedown plan with your auditors? Does this include:

- how to deal with known major issues?
- a protocol for dealing with new issues as they arise?
- a date for a post-implementation review?

Not to be rubbished, £464 million potential savings

Local government guidance

Audit Commission VFM Profiles

Using data from the VFM Profile, <http://www.audit-commission.gov.uk/information-and-analysis/value-for-money-briefings-2/> the Audit Commission issued a briefing on 27 March 2014, concluding that up to £464 million could be saved overall, if councils spending the most brought down their spending to the average for their authority type and waste responsibilities. Members can view how London Borough of Haringey compares with London Boroughs by accessing the website.

The Audit Commission Chairman, Jeremy Newman said: "It's good news that local authorities have reduced their spending on household waste by £46 million over the past four years and have reduced levels of waste sent to landfill. Councils have achieved these important improvements by working with local people and exercising choice about what works best in their own circumstances."

In the context of considering the hierarchy of waste management options - preventing the creation of waste, preparing waste for re-use, recycling, recovery and disposal to landfill - the Audit Commission Chairman also said

"in 2012/13 local authorities spent a fifth of their total expenditure on the most desirable option for household waste management: minimisation and recycling. They spent the other four-fifths on the collection and disposal of waste – the least desirable options. Councils have the power to influence and encourage residents to do the right thing and they control the levels of spending on the range of waste management options available to them. Their choices ultimately affect how well the environment is protected and the quality of waste services residents receive"

Priority School Building Programme

Local government guidance

The Schools minister David Laws announced (2 May 2014) that the government will spend £2bn on a second phase of its Priority School Building Programme in the six years from 2015.

Under the Priority School Building Programme, which replaced the last government's Building Schools for the Future scheme, the government has announced its commitment to spending £18bn on school buildings over the course of this parliament, including £2.4bn targeted at the schools in worst condition. Overall, this funding is set to build around 300 new schools and provide improvements to nearly 600 others.

In announcing this targeted initiative was on course to improve 261 schools with buildings in the worst condition in England by the end of 2017 and that it would now be extended into a second phase, with a further £2bn allocation over the next spending review period to 2021 the minister stated:

"the original Priority School Building Programme worked on the basis of the condition of the whole school site. We will now refine this to look at targeting individual school buildings, as well as whole school rebuilds where this is appropriate, so that the department can focus much more tightly on addressing specific issues in the estate."

Assessing the costs and benefits of local partnerships

Local government guidance

The government published its cost benefit analysis guidance for local partnerships on 2 April 2014.

Developed as part of the Greater Manchester 'whole place' Community Budget pilot, it was the first Treasury-approved assessment of the costs and benefits of joining-up and reforming public services in local areas.

The framework was developed by New Economy, the economic strategy unit of the Greater Manchester Combined Authority. John Holden, acting director of economic strategy at the agency, led the team that devised the methodology. He said

"this model provides a framework to start thinking about more holistic projects that deliver long-term outcomes but also produce short-term cashability [savings]"

The guidance sets out a standard process to determine the benefit of reforms, based on the unit cost of services, their impact and the savings that result. In providing Treasury backing for the cost benefit analysis framework – it has been included in Whitehall's Green Book for policy appraisal and evaluation – it has been added to the government's assessment process for the latest £320m round of the Transformation Challenge Award, which provides funding to councils to implement reforms.

Working in tandem – Local Government Governance Review 2014

Grant Thornton

Local Government Governance Review

This report: <http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Publications/2014/Local-Government-Governance-Review-2014/> is our third annual review into local authority governance. It aims to assist managers and elected members of councils and fire and rescue authorities to assess the strength of their governance arrangements and to prepare for the challenges ahead.

Drawing on a detailed review of the 2012/13 annual governance statements and explanatory forewords of 150 English councils and fire and rescue authorities, as well as responses from 80 senior council officers and members, the report focuses on three particular aspects of governance:

- risk leadership: setting a tone from the top which encourages innovation as well as managing potential pitfalls
- partnerships and alternative delivery models: implementing governance arrangements for new service delivery models that achieve accountability without stifling innovation
- public communication: engaging with stakeholders to inform and assure them about service performance, financial affairs and governance arrangements.

Alongside the research findings, the report also highlights examples of good practice and poses a number of questions for management and members, to help them assess the strength of their current governance arrangements.



© 2014 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

grant-thornton.co.uk